

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,345	06/25/2003	Jeffrey E. Steffens	SUPBAG 3.0-001	2608
530	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			PASCUA, JES F	
	Z & MENTLIK AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			3727	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/603,345	STEFFENS, JEFF	REY E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jes F. Pascua	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
Period for Reply	N V IO OET TO EVOIDE A L	AONTHAN EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	June 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ TI	nis action is non-final.					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	ı(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have beer	received in this National	Stage ·			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	·					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT0	∩-152\			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03, 4/6/05</u>. 	6) Other:		J 102)			

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the tamper-evident indicator disposed "between" the first and second wall (claim 10 and "said ink layer disposed between said first wall and said adhesive layer" (claim 1) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,345 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification fails to provide an adequate written description of void spaces in the ink layer after the layer of adhesive has been applied over the ink layer. Does the layer adhesive fill in the void spaces? If not, the specification fails to provide an adequate written description of how the layer of adhesive is to be applied to the ink layer so as not to fill in the void spaces.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 8 and 15, the "overlying relationship" between the "layer of ink" and the "release material" has not been defined to warrant the language "regions not overlying said release material".

Application/Control Number: 10/603,345 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

6. Claims that have not been specifically mentioned are rejected since they depend from claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraphs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Faykish et al.

Faykish et al. discloses tamper-indicating laminate comprising a flexible strip ("protective layer" 10), a layer of release material disposed in a predetermined patter ("low adhesion coating" 12), layer of ink in overlying relationship with the release material ("emblem layer " 14) and a layer of adhesive ("pressure sensitive adhesive" 16). As seen Fig. 2 of Faykish et al., the emblem layer has greater adhesion to the adhesive in regions of the emblem layer that overlie the low adhesion coating. Furthermore, the emblem layer has lower adhesion to the adhesive in regions of the emblem layer that do not overlie the low adhesion coating.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/603,345 Page 5

Art Unit: 3727

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Faykish et al. and Smith (cited by applicant).

Faykish et al. discloses the claimed device except for the tamper-indicating laminate sealing a bag. Smith discloses that it is known in the art to provide an analogous tamper-indicating laminate on a bag. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tamper-indicating laminate of Faykish et al. on a bag as in Smith for the purpose of sealing the bag and providing visual indication of surreptitious opening.

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Faykish et al. and Smith discloses the claimed invention except for the bag having a preprinted graphic of the first visual appearance of the tamper-indicator. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a preprinted graphic of the first visual appearance of the tamper-indicator the bag since it was known in the art that a preprinted graphic of the first visual appearance of the tamper-indicator provides the user with a visual cue of how the tamper-indicator should appear before separation.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

JFP